| •         | Case 3:05-cv-01219-MMC Document 72   | 2 Filed 01/26/06 Page 1 of 4   |
|-----------|--|--------------------------------|
|           |  |                                |
| 1         | KEKER & VAN NEST, LLP  |                                |
| 2         | ROBERT A. VAN NEST - #84065<br>JAN NIELSEN LITTLE - #100029                            |                                |
| 3         | MICHAEL D. CELIO - #197998<br>710 Sansome Street                                       |                                |
| 4         | San Francisco, CA 94111-1704<br>Telephone: (415) 391-5400<br>Facsimile: (415) 397-7188 |                                |
| 5         | Facsimile: (415) 397-7188  |                                |
| 6         | Attorneys for Defendants   |                                |
| 7         | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT   |                                |
| 8         | NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  |                                |
| 9         | In re ELECTRONIC ARTS INC.   | Master File No. C 05-01219 MMC |
| 10        | SECURITIES LITIGATION  | CLASS ACTION                   |
| 11        |  | STIPULATION DISMISSING ACTION; |
| 12        |  | ORDER THEREON                  |
| 13        |  | Judge: Hon. Maxine M. Chesney  |
| 14        | This document relates to: ALL ACTIONS  |                                |
| 15        |  |                                |
| 16        |  |                                |
| 17        |  |                                |
| 18        |  |                                |
| 19        |  |                                |
| 20        |  |                                |
| 21        |  |                                |
| 22        |  | •                              |
| 23        |  |                                |
| 24        |  |                                |
| 25        |  |                                |
| 26        |  |                                |
| 27        |  |                                |
| 28        |  |                                |
| 20        |  |                                |
| 365657.01 |  | ISMISSING ACTION               |
|           | Case No. C (   | 05-01219 MMC                   |

## WHEREAS, this action, entitled In re Electronic Arts Securities Litigation, Case No, C 1 2 05-01219 MMC, is currently pending before this Court; and 3 WHEREAS, on January 5, 2006 the Court granted Defendants' motion to dismiss; and WHEREAS, the Court gave Plaintiffs 21 days to file an amended complaint should they 4 5 desire to do so; and 6 WHEREAS, Lead Plaintiffs have elected not to file an amended complaint; 7. IT IS THEREFORE STIPULATED THAT: 8 1. Pursuant to Rule 41(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Case No. C 05-9 01219 MMC is dismissed with prejudice, except the class action allegations. 10 which are dismissed without prejudice. 2. 11 Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 78u-4(c)(1) all parties complied with their duties under 12 Rule 11 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. 13 3. Each party shall bear its own costs. 14 15 Dated: January 1/2 2006 KEKER & VAN NEST, LLP 16 17 18 CHAEL D. CELIO 19 Attorneys for Defendants Dated: January , 2006 MILBERG WEISS BERSHAD 20 & SCHULMAN LLP 21 22 23 MAYA SAXENA 24 Attorneys for Lead Plaintiffs and Co-Lead Counsel 25 26 27 28

Case 3:05-cv-01219-MMC Document 72 Filed 01/26/06 Page 2 of 4

## "Case 3:05-cv-01219-MMC Document 72 Filed 01/26/06 Page 3 of 4

| i  |  |  |  |
|----|--|--|--|
| 1  | WHEREAS, this action, entitled In re Electronic Arts Securities Litigation, Case No, C |  |  |
| 2  | 05-01219 MMC, is currently pending before this Court; and                              |  |  |
| 3  | WHEREAS, on January 5, 2006 the Court granted Defendants' motion to dismiss; and       |  |  |
| 4  | WHEREAS, the Court gave Plaintiffs 21 days to file an amended complaint should they    |  |  |
| 5  | desire to do so; and   |  |  |
| 6  | WHEREAS, Lead Plaintiffs have elected not to file an amended complaint;                |  |  |
| 7  | IT IS THEREFORE STIPULATED THAT:   |  |  |
| 8  | 1. Pursuant to Rule 41(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Case No, C 05-      |  |  |
| 9  | 01219 MMC is dismissed with prejudice, except the class action allegations,            |  |  |
| 10 | which are dismissed without prejudice.   |  |  |
| 11 | 2. Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 78u-4(c)(1) all parties complied with their duties under    |  |  |
| 12 | Rule 11 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.                                       |  |  |
| 13 | 3. Each party shall bear its own costs.  |  |  |
| 14 | Dated: January , 2006 KEKER & VAN NEST, LLP  |  |  |
| 15 |  |  |  |
| 16 |  |  |  |
| 17 | By: MICHAEL D. CELIO   |  |  |
| 18 | Attorneys for Defendants   |  |  |
| 19 | Dated: January私, 2006 MILBERG WEISS BERSHAD & SCHULMAN LLP                             |  |  |
| 20 | & SCHOLIVIAN ELI   |  |  |
| 21 | <i>1</i> //  |  |  |
| 22 | By: <u>Maya Saxena</u>   |  |  |
| 23 | MAYA SAXENA  |  |  |
| 25 | Attorneys for Lead Plaintiffs and Co-Lead Counsel                                      |  |  |
| 26 |  |  |  |
| 27 |  |  |  |
| 28 |  |  |  |
| -  | 1 STIPULATION DISMISSING ACTION  |  |  |
|    | Case No. C 05-01219 MMC  |  |  |

Dated: January COHEN, MILSTEIN, HAUSFELD & TOLL, P.L.L.C Attorneys for Lead Plaintiffs and Co-Lead Counsel IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: January 26, 2006 STIPULATION DISMISSING ACTION Case No. C 05-01219 MMC

365657.01